## PbS Levels Explained

Level 1 - Focuses on data quality and process competencies to assur that the data used to make improvements and decisions is complete, accurate and meets PbS definitions.

Level 1 = where all facilities start

Level 2 - Focuses on the critical outcome measures that PhS experts and practitioners have identified as most important indicators of safety and order within a facility: injuries, assaults, suicidal behaviors. solation, screenings, restraints, and staff & youth fear for safety that gives an immediate picture of the facility's safety or violence.

> Level 2 = facility has not addressed all critical outcome measures (COM)

Level 3 - Once the critical outcomes are addressed, this level focuses on the programming outcomes; education pre- and post-test scores, treatment completion, links from screening to assessments to services, sharing of information with community services, volunteers, and access to counsel

> Level 3 = facility has addressed all COM, and moves to programming, etc.

Level 4 - Designates that a site has mastered and integrated PbS continuous improvement system into daily operations and become PbS mentor for other sites.

> Level 4 = facility has mastered continuous improvement system

# **PbS Critical Outcome Measures**

Health - Percent of youths presented for admission who/whose

- (01) had a complete intake screening completed by trained/gualified staff
- 02) had a health intake screening completed by trained/qualified staff in 1 hr or less
- (03) had a mental health intake screening completed by trained/qualified staff in 1 hr or less (04) had a suicide prevention screening completed by trained/qualified staff in 1 hr. of less
- (05) had an intake screening completed by trained/qualified staff in 1 hr. or less
- (06) intake screenings were completed by trained/qualified staff before they were assigned to housing units
- (07) health assessments were completed by trained/qualified staff 6 months prior to or within 7 days from admission
- (08) mental health assessments were completed by trained/qualified staff 6 months prior to or within 7 days from admission

#### Order

(03) Physical restraint use per 100 person-days of youth confinement

- (04) Mechanical restraint use per 100 person-days of youth confinement
- (07) Restrain chair or restraint hed use per 100 person-days of youth confinement (08) Isolation, room confinement, segregation/special management unit use per 100 persondays of youth confinement
- (09) Avg. duration of isolation, room confinement, and segregation/special management unit i
- (10) Percent of isolation, room confinement, and segregation/special management unit cases rminated in 4 hours or less
- (11) Percent of isolation, room confinement, and segregation/special management unit cases minated in 8 hours or less
- (12) Avg. number of idle waking hours per day. Hours youth spend in their rooms or dormitorie during an avg. 24 hour period not including 8 hours for sleeping.

(02) Injuries to youths per 100 person-days of youth confinement

- (03) Injuries to staff per 100 staff-days of employment (04) Injuries to youths by other youths per 100 person-days of youth confinement
- (05) Injuries to youths by staff per 100 person-days of youth confinement
- (06) Suicidal behavior with injury by youths per 100 person-days of youth confinement
- (07) Suicidal behavior without injury by youths per 100 person-days of youth confinement
- (09) Avg. daily ratio of direct care staff to youth during the collection month (10) Youths injured during the application of physical and/or mechanical restraints per 100
- erson-days of youth confinement
- (11) Assaults and fights on youth per 100 person-days of youth confinement
- 12) Assaults on staff per 100 person-days of youth confinement (13) Percent of interviewed youths who report that they feared for their safety within the
- last 6 months at this facility (14) Percent of staff who report that they feared for their safety within the last 6 months

### Security

(01) Completed escapes, walk-always and AWOLs per 100 person-days of youth confinement 03) Incidents involving contraband (weapons) per 100 person-days of youth confinement (04) Incidents involving contraband (drugs) per 100 person-days of youth confinement

(05) Incidents involving contraband (other) per 100 person-days of youth confinement

**DJJ Facility PbS Levels** Director Barber Director Murray Note: Less than 74.5% indicates opportunities to improve ACILITIES Apr-13 Oct-13 Oct-14 Oct-15 Apr-14 Apr-15 All DII irchwood (BRRC) Facilities at Level - 2 Level - 2 (73.4%) Level - 3 Level - 4 Level - 4 Level - 2 (64.7%) Level - 3 Level - 4 (72.0%) Level 2 in Velvet McGowan Velvet Velvet Andy Broughton Facility Administrator Andy Broughtor Andy Broughton Andy Broughton Flwood Sessions (2/2009 - 8/2013) McGowar McGowan (started 9/2013) Youth reported safety fear in past 6 2/6 Red Green Red Green Green Red Green months Staff reported safety fear in past 6 5/6 Red Green Red Red Red Red Red nonths John G. Richards (BRRC) -Level - 2 2.25 Level - 2 Level - 3 \_evel - 2 (75.8%) Level - 2 (73.5%) Level - 2 (64.7% Level - 2 Level - 3 (67.7%) Velvet McGowai Andy Broughtor Velvet Velvet Facility Administrato Andy Broughton Andy Broughton Andy Broughton Flwood Session: (started 9/2013) (2/2009 - 8/2013) McGowan McGowan BRRC Youth reported safety fear in past 6 1/6 Red Red Green Green Green Green Green ne fence Staff reported safety fear in past 6 Red Red 5/6 Red Green Red Red Red onths Villow Lane (BRRC) Level - 2 Level - 2 2.5 Level - 2 (72.7% Level - 4 Level - 2 Level - 4 Level - 2 (73.5%) Level - 2 (76.5%) (64.5%) (73.5%) Velvet McGowan Velvet Velvet Andy Broughton Facility Administrato Andy Broughtor Elwood Sessions Andy Broughto Andy Broughton (2/2009 - 8/2013) McGowan McGowan (started 9/2013) outh reported safety fear in past 6 Red Red 4/6 Red Green Red Green Red Staff reported safety fear in past 6 5/6 Red Red Red Green Red Red months astal Evaluation Center (CEC) -Level - 2 Level - 2 2.375\* Level - 2 (51.6% Level - 2 (59.4%) Level - 2 Level - 3 Level - 3 Level - 3 (62.0%) (65.5%) Loretta Bookar Beverly Carter oretta Bookar oretta Bookar Loretta Bookard Beverly Carte Beverly Carter Beverly Carter (2002 - 4/2014) (started 4/2014) Level - 2 Now Deputy 3.25\* Level - 2 (59.4%) Level - 2 (75.0%) Level - 4 Eval. (56.3%) Director of Sharonda Suttor onny Grate (2002 Bitsy Cooper-Charles Rehab Facility Administrato Ronny Grate Ronny Grate Ronny Grate Ronny Grate Ronny Grate (Interim: 2/2015-6/2015) (6/2015-1/2016) - 2/2015) Services = security at pstate Evaluation Center (UEC) -3.25\* Level - 4 Level - 4 Level - 4 Level - 4 Level - 2 (74.2%) Level - 4 Level - 2 (81.3%) Level - 2 (71.3%) all DII facilities Michael Hogar Facility Administrator: Michael Hogan Michael Hogar Michael Hogan Michael Hogan Michael Hogar Michael Hogan Michael Hogan (1/2009 - Present 3.25 Level - 3 Level - 2 Level - 4 Level - 4 Level - 4 Level - 3 Level - 2 (71.9%) Sherri Hill Deborah Bennett-York Cravman Harvey Sherri Hill Sherri Hill Sherri Hill Facility Administrator Sherri Hill Sherri Hill nterim: 2/2015-5/2015 \*Constituents state there a adjudicated juveniles at the Eval At the end of each reporting period (i.e. April and October each year), the PbS reports highlight the areas in which DJJ needs to create and implement Improvement Plans. Centers which are creating problems. Regular DJJ Management Meetings provide an opportunity to create the Improvement plans, and monitor the status of them. Eval. Centers are only supposed to house non-adjudicated juveniles. Each Facility Administrator at DJJ is responsible for their facility, including Improvement Plans created and implemented. Regular DJJ Management Meetings to discuss PbS data Multi-Disciplinary PbS Site Team Meeting PbS Coach Site Visit Core Group Mee How Often Twice per month How Often Once per quarter How Often 1-2 times per year ndividuals from the site, and potentially the PbS State ) DJJ Director (2) Facility Administrator from PbS Coach meets with various groups during the site visit. This Who each DJJ facility; (3) PbS Site Coordinator from Pirector, including, (1) Facility Administrator (head of icludes a one-on-one initial briefing with the Director and a acility); (2) PbS Site Coordinator; and representative from each DJJ site; (4) PbS State Director (5) General follow-up debriefing; meetings with each PbS Site Team; a tour (3) Education: (4) Security: (5) Clinicians: (6) Volunteer Counsel (6) Deputy Director of Rehabilitative and meetings with dorm, school, medical, JRTC, etc. visit to a

Services: (7) Medical: (8) Chaplaincy: (9) Activity Services, and (7) Director of Institutional D.I.I county office and/or community residential placement: Therapists; (10) Disciplinary Process/BARJ; (11) Management debriefing with Director's Executive Management Team Maintenance; and (12) Classification. Discussion of status at each facility on outcome measures and Discussion of information/data from the monthly reports. Discussion of site status at each facility on status on facility improvement plans, recommendations for What occurs outcome measures and facility improvement What occurs acility improvement plans, sharing of ideas between the ner facility improvement plans (after April and October ns, requests for additional resources needed es, review of data from last reporting period. sharing of ideas and special projects reporting period), recognition for staff and youth, monthly activities and incentives for youth. Facility Administrator (recognitions for staff, activities, incentives, etc.) has final say on what actions occur as a result of the and status of participation at multi-disciplinary

# **Dates of Events**

1990 - DJJ involved in federal lawsuit

2002 - PbS starts at DJJ as pilot at Willow Lane

2003 - Judge Bill Byars named DJJ Director

2004 - All five DII facilities (seven sites in total) involved in pilot/training of PbS

2004-05 - Director Byars, through partnership with SC Legislative Black Caucus, creates Teen After-School Centers (TASC)

2009-10 - Budget cuts to agencies, including DJJ

Sept. 2010 - Demolition of one of oldest dormitories at BRRC. Hickory Dormitory (one of the dorms on the John G. Richards Campus)

2011 - Margaret Barber named DII Director

2012-13 - Sylvia Murray named Deputy Director of dministration at DJJ

Oct. 2012 - BARJ begins at BRRC

Oct. 2013 - DJJ opens Job Readiness Training Center

2015 - Sylvia Murray named DJJ Director

June 2015 - DJJ receives PbS reports from April

Aug. 2015 - BRRC Security Manager separates from agency; Juvenile destroys Poplar dorm at BRRC

# Sept. 2015

-18th: Gang-related fight/disturbance at Birchwood High in BRRC -19th: Multiple youth were involved in property destruction at the Magnolia dorm at BRRC and then ran from officers as they were being transported/relocated to the Crisis Management

Fall 2015 - DJJ creates formal correction plan for

Dec. 2015 - 3 fires intentionally set by youth at BRRC; youth temporarily moved to JDC and MEC

Feb. 2016 -Youth start fires at Cyprus unit at BRRC; break into girls dorm: dispensary: police headquarters; one youth escapes

### March 2016

-1st: LOC sends letter to Governor -3rd: LOC sends letter to State Inspector General -DII re-releases correction plan as "Improvement Plan" & DJJ Deputy over Security removed (partially for failure to implement Fall 2015 correction plan) -DJJ Deputy Director of Legal and Policy Coordination separates from agency -Freddy Pough, from SLED, begins serving as interim DJJ Inspector General and Chief of Police -Darrell Scott, from DEW, begins serving as interim Chief of Staff

# April 2016

-Former DJJ Deputy files lawsuit against DJJ, alleges among other things, "[T]he Defendant Department of late has taken on a more noncompliant and less forthright tone, wherein "stone-walling" occurs, details are often omitted, and words are "parsed" by Defendant Department with both the media and, prior to Plaintiff (and his office) taking over responsibility for those responses, with various legislative and executive 'oversight' entities." -Lawsuit against DJJ re: broke state law in

Summary of Information from Inspector General and DJJ

meeting -including what facility improvement plans are

pursued